School Committee Curriculum Subcommittee
Monday, May 11, 2020
4:00 PM-6:00 PM
Remote via Webex Event

Curriculum Subcommittee Members Present: Barbara Scotto (Chair), Helen Charlupski, Susan Wolf Ditkoff, and Jennifer Monopoli.
Other School Committee Members Present: David Pearlman.
Staff Present: Ben Lummis, Nicole Gittens, Mary Brown, Meg Maccini, Michelle Herman, Kathleen Hubbard, and Robin Coyne.

1) Review/Approval of the March 3, 2020; April 2, 2020; and April 7, 2020 Minutes
On a motion of Ms. Scotto and seconded by Ms. Monopoli, the Curriculum Subcommittee voted unanimously (by roll call) to approve the March 3, 2020 meeting minutes.

On a motion of Ms. Scotto and seconded by Ms. Monopoli, the Curriculum Subcommittee voted unanimously (by roll call) to approve the April 2, 2020 meeting minutes.

On a motion of Ms. Scotto and seconded by Ms. Monopoli, the Curriculum Subcommittee voted unanimously (by roll call) to approve the April 7, 2020 meeting minutes.

K-8 Mathematics Coordinator Kathleen Hubbard provided an update on Math Program Review-Curriculum Selection for Grades K-5 (Attachment A). Ms. Hubbard explained the Math Program Review Process, the K-5 Math Materials Review Process (included teacher pilots and feedback), the rationale for selecting Investigations as the Foundational K-5 Curriculum, and next steps (including professional development and ongoing support). In response to questions, Ms. Hubbard discussed coordination with the 9th Grade Math Teachers, and comparative cost information (Investigations is approximately $385,000 spread out over six years; funds are included in the budget). Members stressed the importance of professional development and ongoing support for educators.

3) School Site Councils and Curriculum Subcommittee visits to School Site Council Meetings
Ms. Monopoli provided an overview of School Policy Subcommittee discussions of policy and practice related to School Site Councils in Brookline, including whether current practice aligns with School Committee Policy and statute.

The Curriculum Subcommittee discussed the ways in which School Site Councils provide input, including through the development of School Improvement Plans. It was noted that the level of input and involvement varies across the district. The Subcommittee reviewed the different ways in which School Improvement Plans have been shared with the School Committee over the years. It was noted that the School Committee has not been voting on whether or not to accept the School Improvement Plans. Subcommittee members suggested that School Site Council members receive some training. Members suggested consideration of short-term (before the end of the school year) and long-term objectives. School Parent Leaders have played an important
role during the closure in maintaining connections and making sure there is a feedback loop.

The Subcommittee discussed next steps. The May 20, 2020 Policy Review Subcommittee meeting will include a presentation on School Site Councils by Massachusetts Association of School Committees Executive Director Glenn Koocher, and a discussion and opportunity for input on the current Public Schools of Brookline Site Council Policy. Ms. Monopoli will provide an update at the next Curriculum Subcommittee meeting. Curriculum Subcommittee members suggested getting feedback from principals and checking whether there are existing School Site Council guidelines or procedures.

4) Summer Programming
Dr. Gittens provided an overview of the following programs: Project Discovery, STAR Academy, and Brookline High School’s credit recovery opportunities (descriptions below).

**Project Discovery**
Students entering grades 1-6 next fall; July 6, 2020 - July 31, 2020.
Staffed by Public Schools of Brookline teachers, this academic-based summer program offers students who struggle with reading achievement a chance to learn in a fun, focused environment. Classes are usually small to support individual and small group learning opportunities. Participants in this program usually attend a second program through Brookline Recreation or Brookline Adult & Community Education in the afternoon.

**STAR Academy (English Learner Education and METCO)**
Students entering grades K-8 next fall; August 3, 2020 - August 21, 2020
Brookline’s English Learner Summer Program provides opportunities for students to increase their English skills in reading, writing, speaking, and comprehension. Students at all levels of proficiency will focus on developing their English while also learning content area vocabulary and concepts. Teachers will introduce and reinforce the instructional language used in classroom settings throughout the school year. This program is an opportunity for English learners to enhance their social and academic language knowledge. Admission to this program is by invitation only.

**Brookline High School Summer School**
Students entering grades 7-12 next fall; June 29, 2020 - July 31, 2020
Will be credit recovery, only.

The meeting adjourned at 6:00 PM.
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Phase 1 - Study and Vision

Vision, Beliefs, Strengths, Challenges, and Strategies

- Ongoing work of Math Specialists
- Curriculum Materials Review (K-5)
- Piloting of new 6-8 curriculum and materials
- Community Open House (May 2018)
- PK-8 Math Program Review Committee
- Center for Collaborative Education research on current state of PK-8 Mathematics
- Staff Survey on current Math Program
- District Leadership Input
- Curriculum Subcommittee Input
- School Committee Input
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PSB K-8 Math Department
Strategic Priorities

1. Teaching and Learning
2. Curriculum and Assessment
3. Professional Learning
4. Equity and Access
5. Family and Community Engagement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Actions</th>
<th>2019-2020</th>
<th>2020-2021</th>
<th>2021-2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build an understanding of Effective Mathematical Teaching Practices for teachers, administrators and evaluators</td>
<td>Ongoing through new curriculum, pilots, and specialists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement new 6-8 curriculum with ongoing PD (summer, job-embedded, department meetings)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and recommend K-5 curriculum aligned with PSB criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide content PD for K-5 teachers</td>
<td>grades 3-5</td>
<td>new teachers 3-5 grades K-2</td>
<td>new teachers K-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement new K-5 curriculum with ongoing PD (summer, job-embedded, workshops)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide parent information sessions and workshops</td>
<td>Through PTO coffees; parent series at Lincoln</td>
<td>School-based or district-wide parent series connected with K-5 curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PSB K-8 Math Department
Strategic Priorities

Curriculum and Assessment
Part I – Identification of K-5 Materials for Review

- Identify materials used nationally and locally
- Review current research on the effectiveness of the materials
- Review alignment to state standards and Brookline Learning Expectations
- Document the reasons for pursuing some materials for deeper review by a materials review committee
- Create a template for review of materials with the committee
Part II - Review of K-5 Math Materials

- Create a K-5 Materials Review Committee
  - Kathleen Hubbard - K-8 Math Coordinator
  - Julie Boss - District-wide Math Specialist
  - Norma Gordon - District-wide Coach
  - Alison Hansel - Math Specialist, Pierce
  - Kerrilyn McCarthy - ETF Pierce (year 1 only)
  - Liz Exton - Kindergarten, Lawrence
  - Laura Richardson - Grade 1, Baker
  - Jenny Yee - Grade 1, Pierce
  - Karen Shashoua - Grade 2, Heath
  - Marian Voros - Grade 2, Runkle
  - Dave Carter - Grade 3, Pierce
  - Bianca Medina - Grade 4, Driscoll
  - Jen Keeler - Grade 4, Baker
  - Kelly Gartside - Grade 4, Baker
  - Viri Hawkins - Grade 5 Driscoll
  - Noorjehan Kahn - Grade 5, Heath (year 1 only)
Present the template for review and the process
Criteria were developed in conjunction with focus areas from Program Review:

- Assessment
- Access and Equity
- Teaching and Learning (Effective Math Teaching Practices)
- Implementation and Ease of Use
- Mathematical Practice Standards
- Curriculum
- Tools and Technology
- Professional Development
Selected programs for pilot

**Illustrative Math (IM)** - Rationale: This program is written by one of the writers of the Common Core Standards, and has instructional routines embedded that align with the work we have been doing around research based NCTM Effective Math Teaching Practices. The design principles include “three overarching and interconnected principles—learning, teaching, and equity” and the materials address student development “in all three aspects of rigor as driven by the standards themselves: conceptual understanding, procedural fluency, and application.” We are implementing IM in grades 6-8, and If this program were selected we would have a common program and approach K-8 in PSB.

**Investigations 3** - Rationale: The philosophy and instructional approach in *Investigations 3* aligns with the approach of the curriculum being piloted in grades 6-8. “Fully aligned to the content and practice standards of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS), deep and careful attention is paid to mathematics content and to student thinking and understanding. Making sense of mathematics is the heart of the work, for students and teachers.” Of the 3 programs reviewed with the PSB K-5 Math criteria developed in alignment with program Review visioning work, this curriculum had the highest average total points in all categories.
## Pilots by School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baker</th>
<th>Coolidge Corner</th>
<th>Driscoll</th>
<th>Heath</th>
<th>Lawrence</th>
<th>Lincoln</th>
<th>Pierce</th>
<th>Runkle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY: **PURPLE** = ILLUSTRATIVE MATHEMATICS  
**BLUE** = INVESTIGATIONS 3
Pilot School Year 2019-2020

- Summer Launch
- Optional pilot check-in meetings after school
- Feedback collected through teachers and specialists: end of unit surveys, meetings with teams and individual teachers, criteria review
- Student work samples collected
- Classroom visits
- PTO coffees - parent updates and input
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Meeting Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 12/19/19</td>
<td>Feedback on Pilot Curricula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 1/16/20</td>
<td>Criteria Review of Illustrative Math K-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 2/27/20</td>
<td>Reviewing Feedback to date to inform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recommendation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation of Investigations as Foundational K-5 Curriculum
based on information collected and additional Committee considerations

Does the curriculum engage students and foster a love of mathematics?
Does curriculum reach all types of learners?

Timing and Process - published vs. pilot/OER

Professional Development - what is embedded, what is needed, what supports do we have in place?

Readiness of Materials for Teacher use (6-8 content teachers vs. K-5 classroom teachers)
Overall Findings: Some Key Strengths in Investigations 3

- Depth of student understanding:
  - fluency with math facts, use of various models, ability to apply
  - Students are recording and explaining thinking in impressive ways. Discussion leads to deeper understanding being reflected in written responses

- Embedded Professional Development (printed materials, videos, examples of student thinking/responses)

- Resources for teachers and families: print and online; pilot teachers report that resources for teachers are thoughtful and helpful

- Student-centered approach; developing conceptual understanding followed by procedural fluency; teachers report that investigations are deep and enjoyable for both students and teachers

- Ongoing assessments provide information throughout units (assessment - learning about student thinking)
Overall Findings: Areas to Address

- Additional time/resources for support and extension (WIN blocks were important for addressing this)
- “Ten minute math” is an essential component and can be challenging to schedule
- Need to supplement in some areas (i.e., some fraction concepts in grades 3 and 4)
- Supporting families in understanding the approach - parent workshops
- Collaboration time for teachers to plan and reflect on student work together
- Assessment - difference between those embedded by Terc and those added by Pearson
Sample quotes from teachers

“The lessons are clearly planned out. The materials are clearly planned and easy to use. The combination of discussions and hands-on math application is well thought out and sequential. Students are enjoying the curriculum and get excited for math.”  (Kindergarten)

“This curriculum offers some wonderful resources (online tools, online lesson plan book, EL suggestions, differentiation suggestions) that support teachers in making good choices for their students and for the ease of using this program.”  (Grade 1)

“While we recognize any program will have growing pains, my kids and I have REALLY enjoyed using this curriculum! It has brought out some deep thinking in our kids around looking for patterns and connections across numbers and defending your problem solving and explaining your strategies. I am seeing clear growth in mathematical arguments, fluency, and problem solving strategies. ” (Grade 2)
“This curriculum is really giving me insight into my students thinking. It is providing them with various strategies to use and the discussions allow the students to share their thinking. Each lesson really seems to build on previous lessons which gives students repeated exposure.” (Grade 3)

“This curriculum is thoughtful, developmentally appropriate, and involves rich thinking for students. It pushes for deep understanding of concepts and encourages them to discuss, justify their thinking, and develop visual representations for their ideas. It's also clearly based on NCTM's Effective Mathematics Teaching Practices.” (Grade 4)

“The curriculum has encouraged rich mathematical conversations in my classroom and encouraged students to try a variety of approaches to problem-solving. I appreciate the easy-to-follow lessons and the professional development sections included in the guide.” (Grade 5)
### K-5 Math rollout planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Who</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation launch PD for grades 3-5</td>
<td>Terc PD (June, July, August)</td>
<td>Teachers, Math specialists, and special educators in grades 3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSB PD - ongoing</td>
<td>Opt-in for K-2 teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation support for math specialists throughout the year</td>
<td>Terc - 8 three hour sessions from October through May</td>
<td>Math Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing teacher PD and support - Building-based or partner Math Learning Labs</td>
<td>4 half days throughout the school year per teacher per grade level</td>
<td>Math PLCs - for classroom teachers, special educators and math specialists</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>