

~~RX~~ OIR LHD Study Cmte 5/18/21

DJ- 39 Cottage in LHD?

DD- want to include the tomb - who has title? Adv. by family

EE- there should be architectural details

JDG- 39 Cottage, want to hear the discussion of how it fits
don't think it should be included.

JS- Review intro by Jean.

DD- OK. WE- big improvement.

JW- will fix the one error + fix it.

Arleen - there was a woman from Welsley who spoke about
#39, would like to hear her comments again.

LM- Are there holes in the argument? Yes, the land is clear,
the house not as much.

EE- arguments for land are there, not house. Would preserve
land not the house

DD- The house undermines validity of LHD

JG- if the rear is a concern, let me talk to the owner and
see if the lot lines can be changed or an easement or something

Arleen- concern that the development of #39 will negatively
impact #25

DD- the lot prohibits development next to 25, has to be set back

DJ- Pre-Richardson history is too long. Distracting from
goals of thematic district.

DD- should it be an appendix?, isn't published yet so can't
be cited.

JW- focus more on #25

Reputation of Rich. is long

DD- Not bothered by differences of structure. National more
important w/ Richardson. Move photos into text.

LM- National significance of Olmsted is also important
don't want it to get lost.

AL- Address the difference in preservation histories in thematic section

Jean to send a version as of this meeting.

DJ - please submit images

Joslin use to be a trustee of Walnut Hills Cemetary, may have advice

DJ - raises timeline concerns, talk about these but not include now

DD - suggests drop dead date, 2 weeks. Not enough Richardson sites

DJ - next meeting

- Jennifer, update re: 39 prop lines
- DD writes thematic portion
- Dated copies of drafts (Jean)
- submit photo images w/ captions
- Marker?

- DD talk to Ken Liss about article, condensed version for SR