

Name of Committee: Override Study Committee – Capital SubCommittee

Meeting Date: May 19, 2014 Time: 5:30 p.m. – 6:30 p.m.

Meeting Location: Town Hall

Members Present:

X	Carol Levin	X	Lisa Serafin Sheehan
X	Sergio Modigliani	X	Timothy Sullivan

The Committee discussed its upcoming report in light of the full OSC meeting later that day.

Sergio suggested that given the debate at Town Meeting that was soon to occur on funding of Driscoll feasibility, and the Sub-Committee’s previous recommendations on a development consultant and other recommendations might be valuable information to Town decision makers in their deliberations.

Carol complimented the Town managing its capital plan but suggested that a town and school facilities plan was needed and that both this and a development consultant review of potential 9<sup>th</sup> sites should be advanced.

Tim suggested that Devotion should move forward given how far along it was and members agreed.

The Sub-Committee voted as follows:

It was the unanimous consensus of the OSC Capital Sub-Committee that Devotion should move forward.

It was the unanimous consensus of the OSC Capital Sub-Committee that a long range facilities plan for town and school facilities should be developed before a feasibility study for a specific school is undertaken to provide long range planning context for individual projects.

An independent professional development consultant should be hired to lead such study.

The following Observations were made in support of the vote:

- The B-Space process was constrained by the Fall ’17 requirement for classrooms being on line, did not fully explore 9th school options to identify all potential appropriate sites for Schools in North Brookline and did not address long range BEEP or amenity space goals.

· Major capital expenditures for both Town and School needs should be evaluated on a longer-term basis and in a wider context than the current CIP program.

The meeting adjourned.