

Date October 19, 2017

Project Name Brookline Strategic Asset Plan & Major Parcel Study

Project No. 76134.00

Meeting Date October 19, 2017

Meeting Time 8:30am

Location Brookline Town Hall

Recorded by Sasaki

Purpose Subcommittee Meeting

Public Meeting Questions + Notes

COMMENTS

- ▶ Issues with “potential” designations, maybe consider categories (1, 2, 3).
 - Can look at abnormalities amongst the selected parcels.
 - What about composite categories?
- ▶ Why is study so focused on South Brookline – what about North Brookline?
 - Is there a way to note this discrepancy in the report?
- ▶ What exactly would be included in “Medium Potential” or Category 2?
 - How will the analysis within this group differ from “Low” and “High?”
 - Scenarios could be used in “Medium”
- ▶ Think about how each category will compare to one another? This could warrant more description as to why there are different “potentials.”
- ▶ Focusing on single parcels may be politically difficult.
 - Maybe focus on areas (such as the Rt. 9 corridor)? Focus can emphasize larger zones to take pressure off of single parcels and relate recommendations together within zone
 - A 3D model/representation of recommendations can help? This would include a list of recommendations instead of singling out parcels
- ▶ Question on conservation within deeds: can these change? (in reference to the Kapoor property)
- ▶ What about assessing or creating an attribute based on potential “RISK?”
 - Need more thinking more about phrasing and framing of what assessment will have? Will this be done before analysis is made or after?